

Wikipedia:Deletion review

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

For simple image undeletions, try {{ImageUndeleteRequest}}

For articles deleted via proposed deletion, post a request at Wikipedia:Requests for undeletion

"WP:DELREV" redirects here. For Revision Delete, see WP:REVDEL.

Skip to: Active discussions · Recent discussions · Archive

Wikipedia editors may find articles, images, or other pages that they believe should be deleted, and raise these concerns in various deletion forums. Administrators determine consensus and examine policy to determine if there is sufficient justification for their removal from Wikipedia.

Wikipedia:Deletion review considers disputed deletions and disputed decisions made in deletion-related discussions and speedy deletions. This includes appeals to restore deleted pages and appeals to delete pages kept after a prior discussion.

If a short stub was deleted for lack of content, and you wish to create a useful article on the same subject, you can be bold and do so. It is not necessary to have the original stub undeleted. If, however, the new stub is also deleted, you may list it here for a discussion. If you are proposing that an existing page be reconsidered for deletion, please place the template {{Delrev}} on that page to inform editors who may wish to join the discussion here (administrators may replace with {{TempUndelete}} where appropriate).

Before posting a deletion review request, please read Wikipedia:Deletion policy and the list of Perennial requests.

Deletion discussions

Deletion today

Articles • *(today, in closing)*
 Templates • *(today, in closing)*
 Files • *(today, in closing)*
 Categories • *(today, in closing)*
 Redirects • *(today, in closing)*
 Miscellany

Undeletion
Deletion review

Speedy deletion • *(candidates)*
 Proposed deletion • *(books)*

Deletion log
 Policy | Process | Guide
 Administrator guidelines

Contents

- 1 What is this page for?
 - 1.1 Principal purpose – challenging deletion decisions
 - 1.2 Temporary review
 - 1.3 History-only undeletion
 - 1.4 Contesting 'proposed deletions'
 - 1.5 How do I do all this?
- 2 Instructions
 - 2.1 Commenting in a deletion review
 - 2.2 Temporary undeletion
 - 2.3 Closing reviews
 - 2.4 Steps to list a new deletion review
- 3 Active discussions
 - 3.1 25 May 2010
 - 3.1.1 circle hand game
 - 3.1.2 Udaya Dharmawardhana
 - 3.1.3 Courageous (film)
 - 3.2 24 May 2010
 - 3.3 23 May 2010
 - 3.3.1 Category:Alabama Sports Hall of Fame
 - 3.3.2 Chinthana Dharmadasa
 - 3.3.3 Hallowicked
 - 3.3.4 Azmyth
 - 3.4 22 May 2010
 - 3.4.1 Rafael La Porta (closed)
 - 3.4.2 Pet naming
 - 3.5 21 May 2010
 - 3.5.1 List of most popular cat names
 - 3.5.2 Cyprus–Norway relations
 - 3.5.3 Frederick Glaysher
 - 3.5.4 File:Untertel.jpg
 - 3.5.5 CozyCot
 - 3.5.6 Wet paint sign
 - 3.6 20 May 2010
 - 3.6.1 Death of Gerry Ryan
 - 3.7 19 May 2010

-Cyclopaia talk 13:44, 25 May 2010 (UTC)

The supervote angle is the opposite of a "tenuous crock of shit". If you prefer "disregarding community consensus like an evil dictator who hates humanity" instead, so be it. Is this DRV over yet?--Milowent (talk) 13:55, 25 May 2010 (UTC)

"Plenty of proof" perhaps to those who arrive here seeing what they wish to see, per usual. And yes, I hope this is over soon as well. Tarc (talk) 14:47, 25 May 2010 (UTC)

Frederick Glaysher

Frederick Glaysher (talk | history (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Frederick_Glaysher&action=history) | logs (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Special:Log&page=Frederick+Glaysher) | links | cache (http://www.google.com/search?q=cache:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frederick_Glaysher) | watch (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Frederick_Glaysher&action=watch)) (XfID) | restore)

I am appealing the decision of Wikipedia to delete the article on me, "Frederick Glaysher," in April of 2008. Because of the dominance of Baha'is of the largest Baha'i denomination on Wikipedia, I believe my appeal can not and will not receive a fair hearing through the normal procedure. Because of the increasing importance of Wikipedia during the last decade, and the Haifan Baha'i determination to keep any article about me off Wikipedia, I believe they have severely damaged the recognition and growth of my career, as a poet and writer.

At the time the "Frederick Glaysher" article was under debate in 2008, Wjehonson observed, "The attacks inho are religion-based as this person is a vocal critic of certain Baha'i institutions. There is no evidence that his works are vanity-press publications. The article is fairly new and deserves new eyes to expand it, instead of this pressure by a vested group or a few individuals to suppress it. Wjehonson 4 April 2008" http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion/Frederick_Glaysher

Wjehonson had also stated,"Their only purpose is to attack Glaysher. This del entry should be voided on that basis solely...." http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion/Log/2008_April_4#Frederick_Glaysher

In addition to Wjehonson, other Wikipedia participants also had misgivings about how the discussion and deletion were conducted. Please refer to the Wikipedia database for details. The record of my being a "vocal critic of certain Baha'i institutions" can be found on my website. The Baha'i Faith & Religious Freedom of Conscience, Documenting censorship and suppression of free speech and conscience within the Baha'i Faith since 1998: <http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship>

Wjehonson created a Wiki page for me on his County Historian Wiki at http://www.countyhistorian.com/cecilweb/index.php/Frederick_Glaysher The "Frederick Glaysher" article there has had over 6,500 hits on it during the last two years, which I believe demonstrate there's significant interest in who I am and my career, both as a poet and literary critic and as a reformer within the Bahai religious tradition. During the last two years, significant new material has also made its way onto the Internet about my work as both a poet and Bahai reformer.

Extended explanation.

*The following discussion has been closed. **Please do not modify it.***

In order to help Wikipedia understand the ferocity and deception involved in the treatment I have received from Baha'is who dominate discussion of articles that they perceive to be related to their paragraphs the Bahai religious conflict that is taking place behind the scenes on Wikipedia, and which led to the deletion of the "Frederick Glaysher" article.

I have been publicly attacked by Baha'is and slandered in many venues, on and off-line, and as an "apostate" by Moojan Momen in a leading British academic journal: "Marginality and Apostasy in 2009. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=PublicationURL&_tockey=%23TOC%237135%232007%23999629996%23674070%23FLA%23&_cdi=7135&_pubType=J&_auth=y&_acct=C000050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_u

My published "Response to Takfir" (denunciation of infidels) appeared in Religion 38 No 4 2008: http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/archives/A_Response%20to_Takfir.pdf Original jou http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/archives/A_Response%20to_Takfir.pdf Original jou http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/archives/A_Response%20to_Takfir.pdf

Since the Reform Bahai Faith has often been attacked and slandered in the past by the larger denomination of the Baha'i Faith located in Haifa, Israel and Wilmette, Illinois, as have several other Bk Reform Bahai Faith has also been misrepresented and suppressed on Wikipedia, by the Haifan Baha'is. As documentary evidence of the harassment that several Bahai denominations regularly exp the website of the Orthodox Bahais who are currently being sued by the dominant Baha'i denomination in the US Court of Appeals, along with two other small Bahai denominations. Contempt Mc http://trueseeker.typepad.com/true_seeker/court_case.html

On February 20, 2009, the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals of Northern Illinois vigorously questioned the Haifan Baha'is on their harassment of other denominations, including Reform Bahai. Judge E some Constitutional concerns." A brief 3-minute official court recording of the proceedings may be listened to at http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/archives/US_Court_of_Appeals_2-20 the original 30-minute US Court recording from which the 3-minute excerpt above is taken, should you wish to verify its authenticity: <http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/USCourtAppea>

The Reform Bahai Faith is a peaceful, open, universal interpretation of the spiritual teachings of the founder Baha'u'llah. Knowing that the Reform Bahai Faith has been misrepresented on Wikiped consider our own understanding of who we are and what we believe, if necessary. About the Reform Bahai Faith <http://www.reformbahai.org/about.html>

All matters Baha'i aside, my career as a poet and writer is being adversely affected, and I appeal to Wikipedia on that basis for an impartial evaluation and decision. I wish to note that my two boc several of which are available on the Internet. Many poets on Wikipedia have had nowhere near that number of reviews, including my other citations, for instance, in an interview with the Nobel

If Wikipedia consensus does choose to permit an article on me, I request that consideration be given to "locking" or handling it in some way that will prevent future abuse of it by continuing Bahi hatred.

Thank you for your careful consideration of my appeal.

Frederick Glaysher Books, poems, essays, reviews, interviews, blogs <http://www.fglaysher.com>

Baha'i Faith & Religious Freedom of Conscience Documenting censorship and suppression of free speech and conscience within the Baha'i Faith since 1998: <http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicens>

Reform Bahai Faith <http://www.ReformBahai.org>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/User:Radon_Detection/Frederick_Glaysher

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Deletion_review

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Why_was_my_page_deleted%3F

http://www.amazon.com/Collected-Poems-Robert-Hayden/dp/0871401592/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1274232052&sr=1-1

External links, Book Reviewed, etc.

====[[:Frederick Glaysher]]====

:{{DRV links|Frederick Glaysher|xfd_page=Wikipedia:Articles for deletion/Frederick_Glaysher|article=}}

I am appealing the decision of Wikipedia to delete the article on me, “Frederick Glaysher,” in April of 2008. Because of the dominance of Baha’is of the largest Baha’i denomination on Wikipedia, I believe my appeal can not and will not receive a fair hearing through the normal procedure. Because of the increasing importance of Wikipedia during the last decade, and the Haifan Baha’i determination to keep any article about me off Wikipedia, I believe they have severely damaged the recognition and growth of my career, as a poet and writer.

At the time the “Frederick Glaysher” article was under debate in 2008, Wjhnson observed, "The attacks imho are religion-based as this person is a vocal critic of certain Baha'i institutions. There is no evidence that his works are vanity-press publications. The article is fairly new and deserves new eyes to expand it, instead of this pressure by a vested group or a few individuals to suppress it. Wjhnson 4 April 2008"

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion/Frederick_Glaysher

Wjhnson had also stated, "Their only purpose is to attack Glaysher. This del entry should be voided on that basis solely...."

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion/Log/2008_April_4#Frederick_Glaysher

In addition to Wjhnson, other Wikipedia participants also had misgivings about how the discussion and deletion were conducted. Please refer to the Wikipedia database for details. The record of my being a “vocal critic of certain Baha’i institutions” can be found on my website The Baha'i Faith & Religious Freedom of Conscience, Documenting censorship and suppression of free speech and conscience within the Baha'i Faith since 1998:

<http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship>

Wjhnson created a Wiki page for me on his County Historian Wiki at http://www.countyhistorian.com/cecilweb/index.php/Frederick_Glaysher

The “Frederick Glaysher” article there has had over 6,500 hits on it during the last two years, which I believe demonstrate there’s significant interest in who I am and my career, both as a

poet and literary critic and as a reformer within the Bahai religious tradition. During the last two years, significant new material has also made its way onto the Internet about my work as both a poet and Bahai reformer.

In order to help Wikipedia understand the ferocity and deception involved in the treatment I have received from Baha'is who dominate discussion of articles that they perceive to be related to their interests, I believe it is necessary to describe in a few paragraphs the Bahai religious conflict that is taking place behind the scenes on Wikipedia, and which led to the deletion of the "Frederick Glaysher" article.

I have been publicly attacked by Baha'is and slandered in many venues, on and off-line, and as an "apostate" by Moojan Momen in a leading British academic journal: "Marginality and Apostasy in the Baha'i Community" in *Religion* 37 [2007] 187-209.
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=PublicationURL&_tockey=%23TOC%237135%232007%23999629996%23674070%23FLA%23&_cdi=7135&_pubType=J&_auth=y&_acct=C000050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=5b99f6924a55e2c8a71092082ec219a3

My published "Response to Takfir" (denunciation of infidels) appeared in *Religion* 38 No 4 2008:

http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorsip/archives/A_Response%20_to_Takfir.pdf

Original journal source:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=PublicationURL&_tockey=%23TOC%237135%232008%23999619995%23701138%23FLA%23&_cdi=7135&_pubType=J&_auth=y&_acct=C000050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=b476fc231c4982240a933b11582a5375

Since the Reform Bahai Faith has often been attacked and slandered in the past by the larger denomination of the Baha'i Faith located in Haifa, Israel and Wilmette, Illinois, as have several other Baha'i denominations, I must point out that I believe the Reform Bahai Faith has also been misrepresented and suppressed on Wikipedia, by the Haifan Baha'is. As documentary evidence of the harassment that several Bahai denominations regularly experienced from Haifan and Wilmette Bahais, please visit the website of the Orthodox Bahais who are currently being sued by the dominant Baha'i denomination in the US Court of Appeals, along with two other small Bahai denominations. Contempt Motion by Wilmette NSA against Orthodox Bahá'í Faith:
http://trueseeker.typepad.com/true_seeker/court_case.html

On February 20, 2009, the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals of Northern Illinois vigorously questioned the Haifan Baha'is on their harassment of other denominations, including Reform Bahai. Judge Diane S. Sykes stated that their conduct "Clearly raises some Constitutional concerns." A brief 3-minute official court recording of the proceedings may be listened to at http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorsip/archives/US_Court_of_Appeals_2-20-09.mp3 A link is provided on the following page to the original 30-minute US Court recording from which the 3-minute excerpt above is taken, should you wish to verify its authenticity:
http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorsip/USCourt_Appeals09.htm

The Reform Bahai Faith is a peaceful, open, universal interpretation of the spiritual teachings of the founder Baha'u'llah. Knowing that the Reform Bahai Faith has been misrepresented on Wikipedia, when not completely suppressed, I ask you to consider our own understanding of who we are and what we believe, if necessary. About the Reform Bahai Faith
<http://www.reformbahai.org/about.html>

All matters Baha'i aside, my career as a poet and writer is being adversely affected, and I appeal to Wikipedia on that basis for an impartial evaluation and decision. I wish to note that my two

books of poems received over twenty-five reviews, several of which are available on the Internet. Many poets on Wikipedia have nowhere near that number of reviews, including my other citations, for instance, in an interview with the Nobel Laureate Saul Bellow.

If Wikipedia consensus does choose to permit an article on me, I request that consideration be given to “locking” or handling it in some way that will prevent future abuse of it by continuing Baha’i fanaticism directed against me out of religious hatred.

Thank you for your careful consideration of my appeal.

Frederick Glaysher

Books, poems, essays, reviews, interviews, blogs

<http://www.fglaysher.com>


Baha'i Faith & Religious Freedom of Conscience

Documenting censorship and suppression of free speech and conscience within the Baha'i Faith since 1998:

<http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship>

Reform Bahai Faith

www.ReformBahai.org



_ob=PublicationURL&_tockey=%23TOC%237135%232007%23999629996%23674070%23FLA%23&_cdi=7135&_pubType=J&_auth=y&_acct=C000050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=5b99f6924a55e2c8a7109
My published "Response to Takfir" (denunciation of infidels) appeared in Religion 38 No 4 2008: http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/archives/A_Response%20to_Takfir.pdf Original journal source: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=PublicationURL&_tockey=%23TOC%237135%232008%23999619995%23701138%23FLA%23&_cdi=7135&_pubType=J&_auth=y&_acct=C000050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=b476fc231c4982240a933

Since the Reform Bahai Faith has often been attacked and slandered in the past by the larger denomination of the Baha'i Faith located in Haifa, Israel and Wilmette, Illinois, as have several other Baha'i denominations, I must point out the Reform Bahai Faith has also been misrepresented and suppressed on Wikipedia, by the Haifan Baha'is. As documentary evidence of the harassment that several Bahai denominations regularly experienced from Haifan and Wilmette please visit the website of the Orthodox Bahais who are currently being sued by the dominant Baha'i denomination in the US Court of Appeals, along with two other small Bahai denominations. Contempt Motion by Wilmette NSA against Bahá'í Faith: http://trueseeker.typepad.com/true_seeker/court_case.html

On February 20, 2009, the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals of Northern Illinois vigorously questioned the Haifan Baha'is on their harassment of other denominations, including Reform Bahai. Judge Diane S. Sykes stated that their conduct raises some Constitutional concerns." A brief 3-minute official court recording of the proceedings may be listened to at http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/archives/US_Court_of_Appeals_2-20-09.mp3 A link is provided following page to the original 30-minute US Court recording from which the 3-minute excerpt above is taken, should you wish to verify its authenticity: http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship/USCourt_Appeals09.htm

The Reform Bahai Faith is a peaceful, open, universal interpretation of the spiritual teachings of the founder Baha'u'llah. Knowing that the Reform Bahai Faith has been misrepresented on Wikipedia, when not completely suppressed consider our own understanding of who we are and what we believe, if necessary. About the Reform Bahai Faith <http://www.reformbahai.org/about.html>

All matters Baha'i aside, my career as a poet and writer is being adversely affected, and I appeal to Wikipedia on that basis for an impartial evaluation and decision. I wish to note that my two books of poems received over twenty-several of which are available on the Internet. Many poets on Wikipedia have had nowhere near that number of reviews, including my other citations, for instance, in an interview with the Nobel Laureate Saul Bellow.

If Wikipedia consensus does choose to permit an article on me, I request that consideration be given to "locking" or handling it in some way that will prevent future abuse of it by continuing Baha'i fanaticism directed against me out of hatred.

Thank you for your careful consideration of my appeal.

Frederick Glaysher Books, poems, essays, reviews, interviews, blogs <http://www.fglaysher.com>

Baha'i Faith & Religious Freedom of Conscience Documenting censorship and suppression of free speech and conscience within the Baha'i Faith since 1998: <http://www.fglaysher.com/bahaicensorship>

Reform Bahai Faith <http://www.ReformBahai.org>

- **Endorse deletion**—there was absolutely no procedural malpractice in the closure, it seems perfectly sensible to me. —TreasuryTag ► stannator— 18:52, 21 May 2010 (UTC)
- **Endorse deletion**-while the article was deleted over 2 years ago and is long gone from google cache to verify, the closing admin seems to have properly judged the consensus of the discussion, and closed the matter as delete. Since its been so long, if a new editor wanted to create an article on this subject in their userspace and solicit opinions as to its chances, I would be willing to opine. However, as the appellant here is the subject of the article, I am not comfortable with the subject creating his own article.--Milowent (talk) 19:11, 21 May 2010 (UTC)
- **Permit recreation**. Not overturn, because the article at the time of deletion did not really show notability. I've restored the article for this discussion. I not the original article did not even mention Baha'i, except for the list of external links in the [this version](#)—which had been removed just before the AfD nomination. I don;t think doing this and then nominating is a good idea, for if the links are inappropriate, it can greatly help clarify the reason for removing the article by showing just that in the AfD. Given that a good part of the notability currently claimed is religious, I suggest an article emphasising that be written, and then it can be sent to afd again if it is still thought inadequate. DGG (talk) 00:01, 22 May 2010 (UTC)

File:Untertitel.jpg

[edit]

File:Untertitel.jpg (talk | edit | history | logs | links | cache | watch) (article | AfD | restore)

Admin [Pascal.Tesson](#) deleted this image. The Admin wrote. "This article or other page provides no meaningful content or history. and the text is unsalvageably incoherent. It

